

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,429	03/28/2001	John M. Mela	21113-05687	5043
22830 75	590 10/12/2004		EXAMINER	
CARR & FERRELL LLP			THEIN, MARIA TERESA T	
2200 GENG RO PALO ALTO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2007	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Tu			
		09/820,429	MELA, JOHN M.	1-0			
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
`		Marissa Thein	3625				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication appoint for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	ress			
1	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIFIED FOR REPLIFIED MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, m by within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
State	us						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 J	une 2004.					
	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		matters, prosecution as to the r	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp	osition of Claims						
4	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application	l .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration					
5	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6	s)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.						
	')☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8	S) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	t .				
Appl	lication Papers						
ç	B) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		d to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	<u>-</u>				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• , ,	•	? 1 121 <i>(</i> d)			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	• •			
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.	C & 110(a)-(d) or (f)				
12	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			tage			
	application from the International Bureau			uge			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		not received.				
	nment(s)	_					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)				
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other		•			

Application/Control Number: 09/820,429

Art Unit: 3627

0

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 1, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-25 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The scope of the claims is unclear because the definition of the term "bounceback" is indeterminent. The specification must clearly set forth the definition explicitly and with reasonable clarity, deliberateness, and precision. *Teleflex Inc. v. Ficosa North America Corp.*, 63 USPQ2d 1374, 1381 (Fed. Cir. 2002), *Rexnord Corp. v. Laitram Corp.*, 60 USPQ2d 1851, 1854 (Fed. Cir. 2001) and MPEP 2111.01. Further the claim context lends no assistance in the interpretation of the term "bounceback". The Examiner is unaware of any alternative resources which would define the term "bounceback".

Application/Control Number: 09/820,429

Art Unit: 3627

However, the Examiner notes that Applicant provides examples (or otherwise "species") of what Applicant considers "bounceback". Absent a definition of the term "bounceback" the Examiner is left to interpret the claims and their recitation of "bounceback" as an invocation of 35 USC § 112, 6th paragraph. Accordingly,

- Claim 1, last 2 lines are interpreted as follows:
 - o --step for modify....behavior--
- Claim 5, lines 10-13 are interpreted as follows:
 - o --a bounceback detection means for.....bounceback behavior--
- Claim 8, lines 9-13 are interpreted as follows:
 - --step for modifying......bounceback behavior--
 - --step for generatingbounceback behavior are not marked as conflicted choices on the configuration page--
- Claim 9, lines 6-8 and lines 14-15 are interpreted as follows:
 - --step for setting bounceback detection bit vector......bounceback
 behavior--
 - --step for setting the bounceback detection bit vector......elimination--
- Claim 21, lines 9-11 and lines 17-18 are interpreted as follows:
 - --step for setting bounceback detection bit vector.....bounceback
 behavior--
 - --step for setting the bounceback detection bit vector......elimination--
- Claims 37, lines 12-18 are interpreted as follows:
 - o --a bounceback detection mean for operatively.....the first variable--

Art Unit: 3627

Applicant must make appropriate changes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by World Publication No. 99/13411 to Skovgaard. Skovgaard discloses the receiving user input specifying the first domain member; and propagating one or more constraints (see at least page 5 lines 11-24; page 6, lines 3-17; page 6, lines 24-32; page 7, lines 8-12). Skovgaard further discloses the constraint propagation involves one other variable of the product configuration (see at least page 8, line 29 – page 9, line 2).

The "if the potential incompatibility....." phrase is in a conditional sense or in the alternative. The noted "if" step is not necessarily performed. Accordingly, and as in the method itself, once the positively recited steps are satisfied, the method as a whole is satisfied -- regardless of whether or not other steps are conditionally invocable under certain other hypothetical sceanerios.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-25, 37-39 (as interpreted) are allowable.

Claims 28-36 are allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 703-305-5246. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mtot October 4, 2004